

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/777,041	GIGER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	AKLILU k. WOLDEMARIAM	2624	

All Participants:

(1) AKLILU k. WOLDEMARIAM

(2) Kurt M. Burger (Reg. No. 51, 461)

Date of Interview: 14 July 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

21

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner and applicant's representative discussed claim 21 to amend in order to overcome 35 U.S.C 101 issue. Applicant's representative agreed to amend 21 as follows: After "A" insert "non-transitory"

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Aklilu Woldemariam/

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)